

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/525,631	02/24/2005	Jorg Rosch	2002P09821WOUS	8341
75	90 05/08/2006		EXAMINER	
Siemens Corporation			KANG, JULIANA K	
Intellectual Prop	erty Department			
170 Wood Avenue south			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Iselin, NJ 08830			2874	

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/525,631	ROSCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Juliana K. Kang	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	8 February 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•	s			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 9-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortain the cortain the cortain the cortain and the cortain the cort	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey- rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/525,631

Art Unit: 2874

1. Applicant's communication filed on February 28, 2006 has been carefully studied by the Examiner. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Thus this action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mehlhorn et al (U.S. Patent 6,285,808 B1).

Mehlhorn et al discloses an opto-electronic circuit comprising: an optical side connected optically to an optical coupling element (9) positioned within a connection opening (8) formed within an optical layer (1) of a multi-layer printed circuit board (2 and 3), the electro-optical device being electrically connected with at least one electrically conductive path (5) formed on an electrically insulating layer (see column1 line 52) of the multi-layer printed circuit board positioned above the optical layer whereby an optical signal exiting the electro-optical device is redirected substantially orthogonally (see column 3 lines 52-56) by the optical coupling element to an optical waveguide (10) formed within the optical layer of the multi-layer printed circuit board.

Art Unit: 2874

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehlhorn et al and further in view of Su (U.S. Patent 6,787,919 B2).

Regarding claims 9 and 18, as described above Melhorn et al disclose the optoelectronic circuit except a plurality of optical components including a multiplexer,
demultiplexer, optical fiber, micro-electrical mechanical system and amplifier. Even
though the drawings and the embodiment show only one electro-optical component,
Melhorn teaches applying the invention to a plurality of electro-optical components (see
column 1 lines 64-65). It is typical to include many optical functions on a circuit board.
Su teaches that opto-electronic circuits include, but are not limited to, multiplexer,
demultiplexer, filters, optical add/drop (de)multiplexers, switches, and opto-electronic
integration devices (see column 1 lines 12-21). Thus it would have been obvious to
one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply various
optical components and/or opto-electronic components such as a multiplexer,
demultiplexer, optical fiber, micro-electrical mechanical system and amplifier in Melhorn
as taught by Su in order to provide necessary optical processing with reliable operation
and simple and automated fabrication (see column 1 line 47-49).

Art Unit: 2874

Regarding claims 10-15 and 17, Mehlhorn et al do not explicitly teach the materials used for the multilayer printed circuit board and the optical conductor path. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use well known materials, such as organic and/or inorganic materials for the printed circuit board and glass and/or polymers for the optical conductor path, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. Also doping the conductor path is well known to adjust the refractive index of the path.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehlhorn et al and Su (U.S. Patent 6,787,919 B2) and further in view of Yoshimura et al (U.S. Patent 6,706,546 B2).

As described above Mehlhorn et al and Su teach the claimed invention except an additional optical conductor path that is connected to the other optical conductor path. Yoshimura et al teach having many optical conductor paths that are stacked together (see Fig. 37-1, 157, 161). This configuration provides compactness for opto-electronic circuit comprising a plurality of optical and opto-electronic components. Thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply teaching of Yoshimuar et al of using additional optical conductor paths in Mehlhorn et al and Su to make the opto-electrical circuit more compact.

Art Unit: 2874

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yoshimura et al (U.S. Patent 6,693,736 B1) and Ouchi (U.S. Patent 6,477,286 B1) teaches an opto-electronic circuit.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juliana K. Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-2348. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:00 AM-2:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2874

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rod Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JULIANA KANG PRIMARY EXAMINER